

Optimising initiation, attendance and retention to a community based chronic illness rehabilitation programme (CBCIR): MedEx Wellness



Emer O'Leary^{1, 2}, Prof. Niall Moyna¹, Dr. Frank Doyle³, Dr. Noel McCaffrey¹, Dr. Brona Furlong¹, Dr. Deirdre Walsh¹, Prof. Catherine Woods^{1,4},



 ¹ MedEx Research Cluster, School of Health and Human Performance, Dublin City University, Ireland
 ² DCU Sport, Dublin City University, Ireland
 ³ Division of Population Health Sciences, Royal College of Surgeons in Ireland, Ireland
 ⁴ Health Posearch Institute, Department of Physical Education and Sport Sciences

⁴ Health Research Institute, Department of Physical Education and Sport Sciences, University of Limerick





Contents

- Background and context
- Methods
- Results
- Conclusion



The role of PA in the prevention and control of non communicable diseases is well recognised internationally.



National Context





The proportion of those active at all life stages

The degree to which diseases and conditions are either prevented, or detected early to allow for successful intervention.

Development of NERF: exercise referral has been identified as an effective intervention





- Other models of rehab have adherence of approx. 70% following reference and mitiation
- General adherence to exercise at 24 weeks is
 less the 10%





 To design, implement and evaluate and intervention to optimise 24-week adherence to a Community based chronic illness rehabilitation programme.





Model of chronic illness rehab

• MedEx Wellness



- Longer term rehabilitation setting
- Multi chronic illness
- Recommendation of twice weekly participation









Standard MedEx Induction

New MedEx Induction

- Physical testing (ISWT, Sit to stand, body comp)
- Questionnaire completion (demographics, Self-efficacy, social support, intentions)
 - Brief presentation

- Exercise consultation at baseline, four weeks, twelve weeks.
- Beginner exercise session
 - Re-assesment at twelve weeks/w feedback.



Intervention design





Behaviour change techniques

Table 1 Behaviour change techniques used in intervention										
Induction	Exercise Consultation at	Beginner Exercise	Exercise Consultation at	Exercise Consultation at						
	induction	Session at induction	week 4	week 12						
5.1 Information about Health Consequences	1.1 Goal Setting behaviour	4.1 Instruction on how to perform the behaviour	1.5 Review behaviour goals	1.5 Review behaviour goals						
9.1 Credible Source	1.2 Problem Solving	3. Social Support	1.1 Goal setting behaviour	1.1 Goal setting behaviour						
	1.4 Action Planning		1.2 Problem solving	1.2 Problem solving						
A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	9.2 Pros and Cons		1.4 Action planning	1.4 Action planning						
	4.1 Instruction on how to		2.2 Feedback on behaviour	2.2 Feedback on behaviour						
	perform the behaviour									
CR			10.4 Social reward	10.4 Social reward						
			15.3 Focus on past success	15.3 Focus on past success						





Baseline descriptive statistics (Psychosocial)											
	Standa	rd MedEx Induc	tion Group	N	ew MedEx Ind	Mann-					
					Intervention G	roup	Whitney U				
	n	Mean	SD	n	Mean	SD	P value				
		(Median)			(Median)						
Self-efficacy	265	7.31(7.72)	2.27	147	7.47(7.9)	1.99	.830				
Social support	266		1.V 1			1 17	.505				
famil											
Social Support	195	1.68(1.3)	.89	109	2.06(1.87)	1.06	.004*				
friends											
Intena	268	3.30(3.33)	.63	180	3.35(3.37)	.54	C.F.				



Table 2 Baseline descriptive statistics (health related fitness) Standard MedEx Induction Group New MedEx Induction Intervention Mann-Whitney Group U Mean (Median) Mean SD SD P value (Median) Lower body strength 20.09(19.1) 321 6.3 181 23.31(21.26) 8.36 <.001** (seconds) 6 BMI 28.89(29) 28.92(29 30) .919 6.48 186 Flexibility (cm.) 5.63(5.5) 9.36 .011* 337 7.96(8) 8.74 179 minute time trial 59 442.59(460) .209 459.76(482) 131.32 165 116.02 (meters)

Participation in intervention





Primary outcome measures

Primary outcome measures			_ /				
	Intentio	n to treat	Per	protocol	Stan	dard MedEx	
				uction group)	Induction		
	(New Indu	ction group)		\ \			
Initiation (%)	N=195	76.9%	N=50	96%**	N=381	72.7	
Attendance mean (median)	N=150	15.78(14)*	N=48	23.14 (24)**	N=279	15.19(12)	
		*	Λ				
			/				
Retention (%)	N=150	38.7%**	N=48	56.3%**	N=279	28.7%	



- Social support from family X
- Social support from friends
- Self-efficacy for exercise
- Intentions for exercise X
- Feedback/improvement



- A theoretically designed intervention can improve adherence to community based chronic illness rehab.
- Attendance at all elements of intervention is far superior.
- Mechanisms for action not fully captured.





- Thanks for the support from DCU Sport and The School of Health and Human Performance.
- MedEx clients for their participation.
- All the supervisors for their ongoing support.





Questions?





Mechanisms for action supplementary



Table 3 Process measure													
		Baseline			12 weeks								
					Intention to treat				As per protocol				
					(Full sample)				(All components of intervention				
									attended)				
Variable	n=186	Mean	SD	N=	Mean	SD	P values	N=49	Mean	SD	P-values		
		(median)		18	(median)				(median)				
				6									
Psychosocial													
Social support Family	172	2.3 (2)	1.17	10	2.46(2.25)	1.06	.501	43	2.48(2.25)	.95	.073		
				1									
Social support Friends	109	2.06(1.87)	39	94	2.28 (2.06)	.98	.056	39	2.19(2.12)	.90	.003*		
Intentions for exercise	180	3.35 (3.37)	.54	10	3.3(3.25)	.52	.126	45	3.31 (3.25)	`.39	.642		
				4									
Self-efficacy (self-	147	7.47 (7.9)	1.99	97	73.72(76.36)	17.84	<.001**	44	7.22(7.36)	7.3	.088		
regulation)													
Self-efficacy barriers	173	60.43 (61.5)	23.59	99	57.88(57.69)	21.17	.096	43	`54.47(53.8	18.03	.318		
									4)				
SF12 (physical	159	34.35(33.17)	8.69	20	42.57(44.93)	5.97	.109	8	42.72	7.04	.180		
									(



Table 3 Process measure													
		Baseline			12 weeks								
					Inten	tion to tre	at	As per protocol					
					(Fu	III sample)		(All components of intervention attended)					
Variable	n=186	Mean (median)	SD	N= 18	Mean (median)	SD	P values	N=49	Mean (median)	SD	P-value:		
				6									
Six-minute time trial	165	442.59(460)	116.02	10 6	501.46(511)	152.55	<.001**	49	487.78(510. 84)	139.84	<.001**		
Strength	181	23.31(21.26)	8.36	11 7	28.31(19.1)	90.75	.001**	49	20.10(20.15)	4.75	<.001**		
Flexibility	180	11.15(5.5)	74.62	11 0	17.87(7)	90.39	.381	49	5.72(8)	9.48	.670		
ВМІ	186	28.92(29.3)	9.55	18 3	21.32(26.20)	14.35	<.001	49	29.06(29.02)	7.34	.143		
IPAQ													
Moderate MET minute	173	758.29(60)	1588	12 7	841.76(120)	1519.66	.102	46	527.82(0)	2621.37	.033*		
Vigorous Met Minutes	174	875.63(0)	2171.91	12	887.87 (0)	1991.87	<.001**	47	842.55(0)	1760.35	.019*		



Health enhancing physical activity

Level of Physical Activity



The proportion that are highly active decreases with age, with only 15% of those aged 65 and over highly active.

